L. Form Letter 1 Submittals
APPENDIX L
Form Letter 1 Submittals

Note: All Form Letter 1 submittals are presented in Appendix L to capture any slight variations to the form letter. All Form Letter 2 submittals were identical and are therefore not included in Appendix L. A list of all commenters who submitted Form Letter 1 and Form Letter 2 is shown in Chapter 11 in Table 11.7.
No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

To:  
Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer  
Water System Improvement Program PEIR  
San Francisco Planning Department  

Dear Mr. Maltzer:  

I had hoped to attend the hearing on the Tuolumne River plan at City Hall with the Sierra Club recently, and greatly regret that I couldn’t do so. My presence may not have made a difference, but I’m expressing to you now my very strong opposition to further diversion of its waters.

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the river does not address all the environmental impacts that diversion would cause. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it, while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Keren Abra

---

(415)587-5281 (home)  
143 Judson Ave.  
San Francisco, CA 94112

---

Tuolumne water diversion

To:  
wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com  

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 1:55 PM

Thomas Adams  
lafadams@earthlink.net

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer  
Water System Improvement Program PEIR  
San Francisco Planning Department  

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Tom Adams  
2059 Golden Gate Avenue, No. 2  
San Francisco, California 94115

---
Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it, while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Karen Boudreaux
333 Melrose Ave
San Francisco, CA 94127

---

September 24, 2007

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document. I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Katie Bramlett
No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

Eric Brooks <brookse32@att.net>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 12:15 PM

To: Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
Water System Improvement Program PEIR
San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Paul:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne River before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it, while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Eric Brooks
SF, CA
94109

---

WSIP PEIR

Susan Burgenbauch <susanb@stanford.edu>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Sep 24, 2007 at 2:24 PM

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Susan Burgenbauch
27T Andesby Ave.
Mt. View, CA 94043
No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

Leslie Chew <lesliechew@yahoo.com>  
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
Water System Improvement Program PEIR
San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Leslie Chew
1771 27th Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94122

---

Got a little couch potato?
Check out fun summer activities for kids.

No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

Nick Colin <nickcolin@gmail.com>  
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
Water System Improvement Program PEIR
San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Nick Colin
122 Coleridge Street
San Francisco, CA 94110
Comments on WSIP

John Cordes <johncordes@yahoo.com>  Sat, Sep 29, 2007 at 4:14 PM

To:  wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document. I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area. Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Mr. John G. Cordes
550 East Arbor Ave
Sunolcape CA, 94586
johncordes@yahoo.com

Tuolumne river

Colette Crutcher <kramm51@earthlink.net>  Tue, Sep 25, 2007 at 9:03 PM

To:  wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

I am concerned about the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River. It fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

We must protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Colette Crutcher
316 Highland Ave
San Francisco, CA 94110
Leave Tuolumne Alone and Stop the Sprawl

Michael Duncan & Tom Richard <bernalites@comcast.net>  
Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 8:49 PM

To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Subject: No More Diversions From the Tuolumne!

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Michael Duncan & Tom Richard
224 Elsie St
San Francisco, CA 94110
415 920-9211
bernalites@comcast.net

No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

Don Ehrlich <violadon@earthlink.net>  
Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 4:11 PM

To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
Water System Improvement Program PEIR
San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Dr Don A Ehrlich
806 Shrader Street
San Francisco, CA 94117
Comment on Tuolumne Diversion Plan

Don Eichelberger <done777@scbglobal.net>  
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Wed, Oct 3, 2007 at 3:15 PM

I realize this is late, but I hope you add my name in opposition to ANY diversions from the Tuolumne. Thank you.

Subject: No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

To:

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
Water System Improvement Program PEIR
San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it, while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Don L. Eichelberger
628 Lyon St., #1
San Francisco, CA 94117

Don Eichelberger
DJ Fix Independent Productions

http://www.greenuprising.blogspot.com

My You Tube
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=45t17T1c1U
Donny Fix delicio.us book marks
http://del.icio.us/donnyfix

The Hegelian/Marxist goal is emancipation. Marx said it best in 1843:

"Human emancipation will only be complete when the real, individual man (sic) is absorbed into himself the abstract citizen; when as an individual man, in his every day life, in his work and in his relationships, he has become a species-being (politically accountable to the whole); and when he recognizes and realizes his own power as social powers, so that he no longer separates this social power from himself as political power."
No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

Peter Gass <petergass@yahoo.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

October 1, 2007

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
Water System Improvement Program PEIR
San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Peter R. Gass
381 Jersey Street
San Francisco, CA 94114

Check out the hottest 2008 models today at Yahoo! Autos.
http://autos.yahoo.com/new_cars.html
No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

Julian Giardinelli <julian@pixelspice.com>
To: wsp.prcomments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 2:39 PM

To: Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
Water System Improvement Program PEIR
San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report. I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it. While at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,
Julian Giardinelli
359 29TH ST.
San Francisco, CA 94131

---

(no subject)

Richard Girling <rgirling@hotmail.com>
To: wsp.prcomments@gmail.com

Tue, Oct 2, 2007 at 4:52 PM

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it. While at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

LET’S learn to USE LESS and SAVE MORE of the environment!

Sincerely,
Richard and Valerie Girling

---

Help yourself to FREE treats served up daily at the Messenger Café. Stop by today!
Tuolumne River

Sami Goski <sagoski@gmail.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

September 24, 2007

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Sami Goski
517 Ashbury Street
San Francisco, CA 94117
TUOLUMNE RIVER diversion project

Carole Herron (cherron) <cherron@cisco.com>
To: wisp.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 12:53 PM

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report. To solve future water needs at the risk of degrading California’s rivers is a mistake. Please reconsider your current plan.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,
Carole Herron
180 Bocana St.
San Francisco, CA 94110

Diverting Tuolumne River’s water

Lia Hillman <hillman@sfpl.org>
To: wisp.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 2:42 PM

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,
Lia Hillman
356A 22nd Street
San Francisco, CA 94114
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mark Jones <a href="mailto:markjones23@gmail.com">markjones23@gmail.com</a></strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To: <a href="mailto:wsp.peir.comments@gmail.com">wsp.peir.comments@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco Planning Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear Mr. Maltzer:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sincerely,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizen of San Francisco</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tuolumne River

Gary Laufman <garyphoto@earthlink.net>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Dear Mr. Maltzer,

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Gary Laufman
3251 Washington St. #301
San Francisco, Ca. 94115

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 1:38 PM

No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

joe L <tryingonchickens@hotmail.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

To:

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
Water System Improvement Program PEIR
San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Joseph Leidner, Vicki Leidner & John Radogho
130 Sloat St. San Francisco, CA. 94122

Boo! Scare away worms, viruses and so much more! Try Windows Live OneCare! Try now!
No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

Victoria Lewis <cymrufilms@mindspring.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 3:29 PM

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Victoria Lewis
1370 Sanchez St.
san Francisco, CA 94131

Tuolumne River

Kirk Lumpkin <Kirk@twinberry.net>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Tue, Sep 25, 2007 at 10:27 AM

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Though I currently do not live in San Francisco I did live there for over 20 years, still own an apartment there, and will probably live there again in the future.

Sincerely,

Kirk Lumpkin
5505 Macdonald Ave.
El Cerrito, CA 94530
Tuolumne River

Michele B. Lundy <michelelundy@gmail.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Subject: No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
Water System Improvement Program PEIR
San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Michele Lundy
90 Paradise Avenue
San Francisco, Ca 94131

---

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
Water System Improvement Program PEIR
San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies of the Tuolumne River before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts to the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water solution for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural wonder.

Sincerely,

Laurie McCann
PO Box 1452
Santa Cruz CA 95061
No More Diversions From The Tuolumne

2 messages

Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com> Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 5:04 PM

To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
Water System Improvement Program PEIR
San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer,

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Sara Meghrouni
1252 5th Avenue
SF CA 94122

sara meghrouni <macondorelay@yahoo.com> Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 5:07 PM

To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
Water System Improvement Program PEIR
San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer,

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts to the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water solution for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural wonder.

Sincerely,

Name: Mary Louise McKelvy
Address: 7 Aquisto Way
City, State, Zip: San Francisco, CA 94131
Stop SF Utilities Commission From Destroying The Tuolumne

galemelon@comcast.net <galemelon@comcast.net>
To: wispeir.comments@gmail.com
Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 2:03 PM

Subject: No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

To: Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer

Water System Improvement Program PEIR
San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from
the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the
River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne
before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the
Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency,
and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide
permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect
this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend
on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in
the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Gale Melton
225 Andover St.
San Francisco, CA 94110
No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

To: Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
   Water System Improvement Program PEIR
   San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,
Mariella de Mey
319 Edna Street
San Francisco, CA 94112
Subject: No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

Minville, Elan <Elan.Minville@ucsf.edu>

To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 1:09 PM

To: Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
       Water System Improvement Program PEIR
       San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it, while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,
Elan Minville
30A 2nd Ave
San Francisco, CA 94118

Don’t drain the Tuolumne River

Denis Mosgovian <denism@earthlink.net>

To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 4:12 PM

To: Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
       Water System Improvement Program PEIR
       San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it, while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Denis Mosgovian and family
1227 10th Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94122
Please stop diversions from the Tuolumne River!

Kevin Neeson <neeson@sbcglobal.net>  
To: wspip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 1:54 PM

To: Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer  
Water System Improvement Program PEIR  
San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

I understand from TheCity.org that your organization is responsible for reviewing and approving the diversion and sale of additional Sierra waters to east bay suburbs. I’m personally concerned that with changes to our environment, it’s not clear that such supplies will be available in the future and thus there must be strict limitations put on water usage (not just for new developments, but current users–both residential and business/agriculture). Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,  
Kevin Neeson

---

No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

IS Achad@aol.com <IS Achad@aol.com>  
To: wspip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 12:39 PM

To: Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer  
Water System Improvement Program PEIR  
San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report. I use the Tuolumne regularly and strongly oppose the potential water diversion.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Chad Nichols  
901 Wisconsin Street  
San Francisco, CA 94107

See what's new at AOL.com and Make AOL Your Homepage.
Tuolumne River

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Lauren Nickell
33569 Hardin Flat Road
Groveland, CA 95321

No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

Erica Pedersen
Managing Editor
SanFrancisco.com
1475 Folsom St., Ste. 200
San Francisco, CA 94103
Tel. 415.552.5588 x209
Fax. 415.552.5587

Dear Paul Maltzer,

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it, while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Erica Pedersen
Tuolumne River

EHPhill@aol.com <EHPhill@aol.com>
To: wisp.peir.comments@gmail.com

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

The Tuolumne River is very important to myself and my family. Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Ed Pile
421 Congo St
San Francisco, CA 94131

Save the Tuolumne River

krayhill@rcn.com <krayhill@rcn.com>
To: wisp.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 1:00 PM

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it. While at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Kevin Rayhill

-------------------------------
See what's new at http://www.aol.com
No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

Reinhartd2@aol.com <Reinhartd2@aol.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com
Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 12:40 PM

To:
Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
Water System Improvement Program PEIR
San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it, while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Reinhardt

****************************************
See what's new at http://www.aol.com

No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

jan richman <janrichman@hotmail.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com
Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 5:10 PM

To:
Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
Water System Improvement Program PEIR
San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Janine Richman
113 Colores Street #3
San Francisco, CA
94103

****************************************
Explore the seven wonders of the world Learn more!
Please: no more diversions from the Tuolumne!

Mija Riedel <mr@mr.com>
To: wspc@spc.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 3:51 PM

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it, while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,
Mija Riedel
San Francisco, CA

---

(no subject)

Hedi Saraf <hedi@mcs.org>
To: wspc@spc.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 7:12 PM

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it, while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,
Hedi Saraf
3765 21st Street
San Francisco, CA
94114

---


http://sims.yahoo.com/
Dear Mr. Maltzer:

I am a fisherman and an outdoors man who values our rivers and I stand in solidarity with Palo Alto councilman Peter Drekmeter who is Program Director with the Tuolumne River Trust.

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Patrick L. Schmitz
409 Fair Oaks St.
San Francisco, CA 94110
Please protect the Tuolumne River

Tara Schubert <tara@tschubert.net>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 2:58 PM

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it, while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Tara Schubert
4 Downey St
San Francisco, CA 94117

---

RE. Program Environmental Impact Report for the Water System Improvement Program

Peter Seidman <petse@earthlink.net>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Wed, Sep 26, 2007 at 11:21 AM

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Peter Seidman and Bonnie Benard
Peter Seidman and Bonnie Benard
1238 Josephine Street
Berkeley, CA 94703-1112
510-528-4344
petse@earthlink.net
Tuolomne River diversion
2 messages

Kate Stepan <katestepan@yahoo.com>  To: wsp.peir.comments@gmail.com
Sat, Dec 29, 2007 at 1:54 PM

12/29/07
Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Kate Stepan
111 Ave. Del Reposo #2
San Clemente, CA 92672

Protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions

Susan Stern <bubbestern@yahoo.com>  To: wsp.peir.comments@gmail.com
Wed, Sep 26, 2007 at 5:00 PM

September 26, 2007
Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. We urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

We support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Dr. Maury and Susan Stern
939 Carol Lane
Lafayette, CA 94549

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

I am aware of the tight water situation in California’s Central Valley through a number of recent visits in the area. So your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River is a surprising turn in the water policies for this region. It fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Olav Strawe

Fortify Communications, Inc.
367 9th St., Suite B
San Francisco, CA 94103-3831

P: +1 (415) 651-4186
F: +1 (415) 946-3540
C: +1 (650) 861-9151
(no subject)

ichiapella@juno.com <ichiapella@juno.com>  Sun, Sep 30, 2007 at 1:20 AM

To: wsp.peir.comments@gmail.com

Allen Todd  
631 Colorado Avenue  
Palo Alto, CA 94306

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer  
San Francisco Planning Department  
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400  
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,  
Allen Todd

Increased Tuolumne River withdrawals

TerryT1011@aol.com <TerryT1011@aol.com>  Mon, Sep 24, 2007 at 12:23 PM

To: wsp.peir.comments@gmail.com

Sept. 24, 2007

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer  
San Francisco Planning Department  
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400  
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

As a water consumer of Tuolumne River water in Palo Alto, I see every day that water conservation is not a priority for my city. As a member of an advisory committee to the Santa Clara Valley Water District, I can see that similar problems exist in every city using Tuolumne water. We have hardly been an attempt on water conservation and re-use.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,  
Terry A. Trumbull  
Professor, Environmental Studies Dept.  
San Jose State University

See what's new at AOL.com and Make AOL Your Homepage.
No More Diversions From The Tuolumne

Catherine.Vowles@kp.org <Catherine.Vowles@kp.org>  Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 2:19 PM
To: wpip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Catherine Vowles
2714 Fulton St
San Francisco, CA 94118

---

No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

tesw@aol.com <tesw@aol.com>  Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 2:01 PM
To: wpip.peir.comments@gmail.com

To: Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
Water System Improvement Program PEIR
San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Tes Welborn
2001 Oak St
SF 94117

Email and AIM finally together. You’ve gotta check out free AOL Mail!
Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

Please protect the Tuolumne River

Judygardn@aol.com <Judygardn@aol.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Tue, Sep 25, 2007 at 8:56 PM

September 26, 2007

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protects the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

J. Wong
747 15th Ave.
Menlo Park, CA 94025

See what's new at AOL.com and Make AOL Your Homepage.
Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC’s plan to take more water from the Tulemm River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tulemm before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tulemm from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tulemm, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

ebbe roe yovino-smith
227 montclair st
sf ca
94110
415 846 8284